ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

# Journal of Plant Physiology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.de/jplph

# Comparisons of plastic responses to irradiance and physiological traits by invasive *Eupatorium adenophorum* and its native congeners

Yu-Long Zheng<sup>a, c, \*</sup>, Yu-Long Feng<sup>a, b, \*</sup>, Yan-Bao Lei<sup>a</sup>, Zhi-Yong Liao<sup>a, c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Tropical Forest Ecology, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650223, China

<sup>b</sup> College of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Shenyang Agricultural University, Shenyang, Liaoning Province 110866, China

<sup>c</sup> Graduate University, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100039, China

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 October 2011 Received in revised form 16 February 2012 Accepted 16 February 2012

Keywords: Invasiveness Irradiance Nitrogen allocation Photosynthesis Plasticity

## ABSTRACT

To explore the traits contributing to invasiveness of *Eupatorium adenophorum* and to test the relationship between plasticity of these traits and invasiveness, we compared *E. adenophorum* with its two native congeners at four irradiances (10%, 23%, 40%, and 100%). The invader showed constantly higher performance (relative growth rate and total biomass) across irradiances than its native congeners. Higher light-saturated photosynthetic rate (Pmax), respiration efficiency (RE), and nitrogen (PNUE) and water (WUE, at 40% and 100% irradiances only) use efficiencies contributed directly to the higher performance of the invader. Higher nitrogen allocation to, stomatal conductance, and the higher contents of leaf nitrogen and pigments contributed to the higher performance of the invader indirectly through increasing Pmax, RE, PNUE and WUE. The invader had consistently higher plasticity only in carotenoid content than its native congeners in ranges of low (10-40%), high (40-100%) and total (10-100%) irradiances, contributing to invasion success in high irradiance by photo protection. In the range of low irradiances, the invader had higher plasticity in some physiological traits (leaf nitrogen content, nitrogen contents in bioenergetics, carboxylation and in light-harvesting components, and contents of leaf chlorophylls and carotenoids) but not in performance, while in the ranges of high or total irradiances, the invader did not show higher plasticity in any variable (except Car). The results indicated that the relationship between invasiveness and plasticity of a specific trait was complex, and that a universal generalization about the relationship might be too simplistic.

© 2012 Elsevier GmbH. All rights reserved.

# Introduction

General-purpose genotype hypothesis predicts that invasive alien plant species occupy wide geographic areas with diverse habitats and outperform co-occurring natives through plastic responses to environment conditions (phenotypic plasticity) without genetic

E-mail addresses: zhengyl@xtbg.org.cn (Y.-L. Zheng), fyl@xtbg.ac.cn (Y.-L. Feng).

0176-1617/\$ - see front matter © 2012 Elsevier GmbH. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2012.02.011

changes (Baker, 1974; Sultan, 1995; Weber and Schmid, 1998; Parker et al., 2003; Li and Feng, 2009). Although higher plasticity has been found for some invasive plants compared with natives (Williams et al., 1995; Pattison et al., 1998; Niinemets et al., 2003; Gurevitch et al., 2008), the relationship between invasiveness and plasticity is still not clear (Daehler, 2003; Burns and Winn, 2006). Phenotypic plasticity may facilitate a genotype to tolerate a broad range of environments and to increase performance in multiple environments (Callaway et al., 2003). Invasive alien plants inevitably encounter novel abiotic and biotic environments after introduction and/or during following range expansion, which they do not meet in their native ranges. Phenotypic plasticity is crucial for invasive plants to colonize new environments, increasing ecological breadth and potentially available resources, facilitating performance and invasions especially at changing environments (Sultan and Bazzaz, 1993; Ghalambor et al., 2007).

Most early studies focused on comparing plasticity of single traits between invasive plants and comparators. However, the response of performance to environment changes is achieved through interactions among physiological and morphological

Abbreviations: Car, leaf carotenoid content  $(g m^{-2})$ ; Chl, leaf chlorophyll content  $(g m^{-2})$ ;  $G_s$ , stomatal conductance  $(mol m^{-2} s^{-1})$ ;  $N_A$ , total leaf nitrogen content  $(g m^{-2})$ ;  $N_B$ , nitrogen content in bioenergetics  $(g m^{-2})$ ;  $N_C$ , nitrogen content in carboxylation  $(g m^{-2})$ ;  $N_L$ , nitrogen content in light-harvesting components  $(g m^{-2})$ ;  $P_{max}$ , light-saturated photosynthetic rate  $(\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1})$ ;  $P_T$ , plasticity to total irradiance;  $P_H$ , plasticity to high irradiances;  $P_L$ , plasticity to low irradiances; PNUE, photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency  $(\mu mol g^{-1} s^{-1})$ ;  $R_d$ , relative growth rate  $(mg g^{-1} d^{-1})$ ; SLA, specific leaf area  $(cm^2 mg^{-1})$ ; WUE, water use efficiency  $(\mu mol mol^{-1})$ .

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors at: Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650223, China.

traits. For example, area-based nitrogen (N) content ( $N_A$ ) and leaf N allocated to carboxylation ( $N_C$ ) and bioenergetics ( $N_B$ ) of the photosynthetic processes increase with increasing irradiance, while specific leaf area (SLA) and leaf N allocated to light-harvesting components ( $N_L$ ) decrease (Niinemets and Tenhunen, 1997; McDowell, 2002; Niinemets et al., 2003; Baltzer and Thomas, 2007; Feng, 2008). These plastic responses to irradiance increase light capture ability (decrease leaf construction cost) at low irradiance and increase light-saturated photosynthetic rate ( $P_{max}$ ) and photosynthetic N use efficiency (PNUE) at high irradiance, contributing to high performance.

Richards et al. (2006) proposed three phenotypic plasticity strategies to characterize invasive plant performance. One strategy is expressed as phenotypic plasticity assists the invader to maintain constant performance advantage over natives across an environment gradient. The second strategy depicts cases where phenotypic plasticity enables performance to be higher under certain environmental conditions. The third strategy is the combination of the above two strategies. Hulme (2008) concluded that the plasticity strategy depends strongly on the regions of environment gradient. However most studies examined only a partial subset of the full environment range experienced by plant species, and drew inaccurate conclusions about the role of plasticity in biological invasions.

Eupatorium adenophorum Sprengel (Asteraceae) [Syn. Ageratina adenophora (Sprengel) R.M. King and H. Robinson] is native to Central America but a globally noxious invasive perennial forbs throughout the subtropics. It spread into Yunnan Province from Burma in the 1940s, and now it has invaded in six provinces in Southwest China (Zheng et al., 2009). It can replace native species and even forms dense monoculture in diverse habitats. Phylogeny has an evident effect on the results of paired comparisons, while most studies compared unrelated invasive and native plants at one or few environments (but see Feng, 2008). Relative performance of invasive and native plants is environmentdependent, and comparisons between related invasive and native plants in diverse environments are more informative in elucidating plant traits contributing to invasiveness (McDowell, 2002; Daehler, 2003; Grotkopp and Rejmánek, 2007; Feng, 2008). Irradiance is one of the important resources for plants; survival, growth, and reproduction all depend on it. Competition for light is an important factor influencing some plant invasions (D'Antonio et al., 2001; Standish et al., 2001). Yamashita et al. (2000) found when transferred from shade to a sunny environment, invasive Bischofia javanica have a rapid photosynthetic acclimation in existing shade leaves by minimizing photo inhibition, and a rapid deployment of new sun leaves with high photosynthetic capacity. Our pervious study has showed that E. adenophorum has higher performance than native congeners (Eupatorium japonicum L. and Eupatorium heterophyllum DC) in diverse irradiances (Zheng et al., 2009). However, the physiological mechanisms associated with the higher performance of E. adenophorum were unclear, and the relationship between plasticity of physiological traits and invasiveness was also not tested. So, in this study, we compared E. adenophorum with its two native congeners at four irradiances. We first explored which physiological traits contributed to the higher performance of the invader. Then we analyzed the relationships between invasiveness and plasticity of these physiological traits and performance.

#### Materials and methods

#### Study site and species

This study was carried out at Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden (21°56′N, 101°15′E) of Chinese Academy of Sciences located in Mengla County, Yunnan Province, southwest China. The mean annual temperature is  $21.7 \,^{\circ}$ C in this area. The mean temperature in the hottest month (July) is  $25.3 \,^{\circ}$ C, and that in the coolest month (January) is  $15.6 \,^{\circ}$ C. The average annual precipitation is  $1557 \,$ mm, with a dry period lasting from November to April.

*Eupatorium japonicum* L. and *Eupatorium heterophyllum* DC are native perennial forbs with similar stature (1–2 m tall) as *Eupatorium adenophorum* in many provinces in China. They have sympatric distributions with *E. adenophorum*, and also occur in various habitats such as understory, edge of forests, shrubs, and grasslands (Feng et al., 2007; Feng, 2008). According to field observations, the two congeners usually distribute in partial shade environments, and they are rare in the environments with low or high irradiances. *E. adenophorum* has high density in 40–100% irradiances, while it is still common in the environments from 20% to 40% irradiances. It can be found even at 5% irradiance. The seeds of the studied species were collected at two sites 40 km apart from each other around Kunming, Yunnan Province.

#### Irradiance treatment

Seeds of studied species were sown at a seedbed in a greenhouse in December 2006. In February, 2007, when the seedlings of the species were approximately 10 cm tall, similar sized seedlings were transplanted singly into  $10 \, dm^3$  plastic pots. The pots were filled with equal proportions of river sand and the top layer of forest soil. All seedlings were grown at 23% irradiance for two weeks. The seedlings of each species were divided randomly into four groups, and each group (25 seedlings) was grown at one of the four irradiances (10%, 23%, 40% and 100%), respectively. Seedlings were watered with tap water (~2 dm<sup>3</sup>) every other day and fertilized with complex fertilizer (15% N, 15% P and 15% K) at 2 g per pot in March.

The irradiances used in this study were created by covering shade houses with different layers of black nylon shade netting (Tongchuang, Beijing, China), including no netting to create 100% irradiance. The relative irradiance in each shade house was estimated by comparing the integrated photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) in it during a clear day with the house with no netting (Appendix A). Quantum sensors and a Li-1400 Datalogger (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE) were used to measure PPFD. For reducing potential differences within each shade house and among shade houses for other environment factors except irradiance, four big shade houses (each is  $6.5 \text{ m} \times 5.0 \text{ m} \times 2.5 \text{ m}$ ) were constructed in an open site, and the lower 20 cm of each shade house remained open to facilitate airflow. In order to avoid position effect, the pots were arranged in center parts of each house, and rerandomized fortnightly within each shade house throughout the experiment. As in most experiments that control irradiance (e.g. Pattison et al., 1998; Baltzer and Thomas, 2007), we had no replication of the shade house with similar irradiance, and the seedlings in each shade house were used as replicates to do statistical analyses.

## Measurements

In March and June of 2007, five seedlings per species and treatment were harvested, oven-dried at 80 °C for 48 h, and total biomass (including roots, which were collected by carefully rinsing soil from roots) of each seedling was measured, respectively. Relative growth rate (RGR) was calculated as: RGR =  $(\ln W_2 - \ln W_1)/\Delta t$ , where  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  represent total biomass of each sample seedling at the initial and final harvests, respectively, and  $\Delta t$  indicates the days between the initial and final harvest.

Before the final harvest, photosynthetic response to intercellular  $CO_2$  concentration ( $C_i$ ) was determined on new fully expanded leaves (the fifth or sixth leaf from top) of four individuals per species and treatment using a Li-6400 Portable Photosynthesis System (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE) with well watered to minimize the stomatal patchiness (Terashima, 1992). We first conducted a preliminary measurement to explore the saturating light level and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of each species under each house. Then, under a saturating level of PPFD (1600  $\mu mol\,m^{-2}\,s^{-1}$  in 40–100% irradiances,  $1000 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{m}^{-2}\,\text{s}^{-1}$  in 10–23% irradiances), net photosynthetic rate  $(P_n)$  was measured at 380, 300, 260, 220, 180, 140, 110, 80 and  $50 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{mol}^{-1}$  CO<sub>2</sub> in the reference chamber. Relative humidity of the air in the leaf chamber was controlled at  $\approx$ 70%, leaf temperature at 30 °C. The constant values of stomatal conductance ( $G_s$ ),  $C_i$  and  $P_n$  of each sample leaf were recorded after 180s under each PPFD and CO<sub>2</sub> step. Photosynthetic rate measured at 380 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> and saturating PPFD was  $P_{\text{max}}$ ; dark respiration rate ( $R_d$ ) was determined after 300 s under 0  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> PPFD and 380  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>. Afterwards, light- and CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated photosynthetic rate  $(P'_{max})$  was detected after 500 s under saturating PPFD and 1500 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>. Before measurement, each sample leaf was illuminated with saturating PPFD provided by the LED light source of the equipment for 5-30 min to achieve fully photosynthetic induction. No photo inhibition occurred during the measurements.

Leaf discs (excluding main vein) were taken from each sample leaf and oven-dried at  $60 \,^{\circ}$ C for 48 h.  $N_A$  was determined with a Vario MAX CN Element Analyser (Elementar Analysen-systeme GmbH, Hanau, Germany). Leaf chlorophyll (Chl) and carotenoid (Car) contents were measured following the method of Lichtenthaler and Wellburn (1983). The same leaf of each sample plant was used if possible for measurements of photosynthesis, Chl, Car, SLA, and  $N_A$ , but in some cases, similar leaves were used for some measurements. SLA was calculated as the ratio of leaf area to mass; PNUE as the ratio of  $P_{\text{max}}$  to  $R_{\text{s}}$ ; respiration efficiency (RE) as the ratio of  $P_{\text{max}}$  to  $R_d$ .

Maximum carboxylation rate ( $V_{cmax}$ ) was derived from  $P_n-C_i$ curves.  $P_n - C_i$  curve was fitted with linear equation  $(P_n = kC_i + i)$ within 50–200  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> C<sub>i</sub>, where k was carboxylation efficiency (CE), -i/k was equal to CO<sub>2</sub> compensation concentration  $(\Gamma^*)$  in the absence of mitochondria respiration (Laisk et al., 2005), which was very low under irradiation. Maximum electron transport rate (V<sub>cmax</sub>) was calculated with light- and CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated photosynthetic rate. The contents of Rubisco and cytochrome (cyt) f were calculated with  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$ , respectively. N allocated to carboxylation  $(N_{\rm C})$  and to bioenergetics  $(N_{\rm B})$  was calculated with the contents of Rubisco and cyt *f*, respectively. N content in light-harvesting components  $(N_{\rm L})$  was calculated with chlorophyll content ( $C_{\rm C}$ ). The theories and calculations are presented in detail by Niinemets and Tenhunen (1997), Loustau et al. (1999), Warren and Adams (2004), Kitaoka and Koike (2004), Feng and Fu (2008) and Feng et al. (2008). V<sub>cmax</sub>, J<sub>max</sub>, N<sub>C</sub>, N<sub>B</sub> and N<sub>L</sub> were calculated according to following equations.

$$V_{\rm cmax} = \frac{k[C_{\rm i} + K_{\rm c}(1 + O/K_{\rm o})]^2}{\Gamma^* + K_{\rm c}(1 + O/K_{\rm o})} \tag{1}$$

$$J_{\text{max}} = \frac{4(P'_{\text{max}} + R_{\text{d}})(C_{\text{i}} + 2\Gamma^{*})}{C_{\text{i}} - \Gamma^{*}}$$
(2)

$$N_{\rm C} = \frac{V_{\rm cmax}}{6.25 \times V_{\rm cr}} \tag{3}$$

$$N_{\rm B} = \frac{J_{\rm max}}{8.06 \times J_{\rm mc}} \tag{4}$$

$$N_{\rm L} = \frac{C_{\rm C}}{\rm SLA \times C_{\rm B}} \tag{5}$$

where  $K_c$  and  $K_o$  are 469.8  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> and 456.2  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> at 30 °C, respectively, and O is 210 mmol mol<sup>-1</sup> (Niinemets and

Tenhunen, 1997).  $V_{cr}$  and  $J_{mc}$  are 32.76 µmol CO<sub>2</sub> g<sup>-1</sup> Rubisco s<sup>-1</sup> and 182.33 µmol electrons µmol<sup>-1</sup> cyt  $fs^{-1}$  at 30 °C, respectively;  $C_B$  is 2.15 mmol g<sup>-1</sup>; 6.25 (g Rubisco g<sup>-1</sup> nitrogen in Rubisco) is the conversion coefficient between nitrogen content and protein content in Rubisco; and 8.06 (µmol cyt  $fg^{-1}$  nitrogen in bioenergetics) is the conversion coefficient between cyt f and nitrogen in bioenergetics (Niinemets et al., 1998).

Valladares et al. (2000) calculated plasticity index as the ratio of the difference between the maximum and the minimum means of each variable among irradiances to the maximum mean. In this study, the maximum mean of each variable was replaced by each value of sample individual at the corresponding irradiance. In this way, we could obtain as many plasticity indices for each species and variable as the number of sample individuals at the corresponding irradiances and test for the differences among the three studied species in plasticity index of each variable. In order to test the differences in plasticity of each trait in total irradiances (10–100%,  $P_T$ ), in high irradiances (40–100%,  $P_H$ ), and in low irradiances (10–40%,  $P_L$ ) were calculated, respectively.

#### Statistical analyses

A two-way ANOVA was used to test for the effects of species, irradiance, and their interactions on each variable evaluated in this study. One-way ANOVAs (Tukey-Kramer test) were used to test for the differences among species at the same irradiance, the differences among irradiances for the same species in each variable, and the differences among species in plasticity ( $P_{\rm T}$ ,  $P_{\rm H}$  and  $P_{\rm L}$ ). A one-way ANCOVA was used to test for the difference between E. adenophorum and its native congeners in the correlation between each pair of the variables presented in Figs. 2-4, with species category (invasive vs. native) as a fixed factor, and variables indicated by y- and x-axes as dependent variable and covariate, respectively. If the difference was significant, we then tested for the significance of the correlation (Pearson correlation, two-tailed) for the invasive and native species separately; otherwise, we pooled data from all species to test for the significance of correlation. All analyses were carried out using SPSS 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

## Results

Species (except for  $R_d$ ) and irradiance (except for WUE) significantly affected all variables evaluated in this study (Table 1). The interactions between species and irradiance were also significant for most of the variables, indicating genetic differences in plasticity for these variables, i.e. the patterns of the differences among species in these variables were different at different irradiances. For example, E. adenophorum compared with its native congeners showed significantly higher WUE only at 40% and 100% irradiances (Fig. 1D). The invader had lower SLA than the natives at 10-40% irradiances but not at 100% irradiance (Fig. 1B). Similarly, the difference between the invasive and native species in  $G_s$  was not significant at 100% irradiance although the invader had higher values than the natives at 10% and 23% irradiances (Fig. 1G). However, the invader had consistently higher P<sub>max</sub>, PNUE, RE, N<sub>A</sub>, N<sub>C</sub>, N<sub>B</sub>, N<sub>L</sub>, Chl, Car, RGR and total biomass across irradiances than the natives (Fig. 1). P<sub>max</sub>, PNUE, R<sub>d</sub>, G<sub>s</sub>, N<sub>A</sub>, N<sub>C</sub>, N<sub>B</sub>, N<sub>I</sub>, Chl, Car, RGR (except E. japonicum at 100% irradiance) and total biomass (except E. japonicum at 100% irradiance) increased, while SLA decreased with increasing irradiance for all studied species (Fig. 1).

The invader had significantly higher  $P_{\rm T}$  in Car but lower  $P_{\rm T}$  in SLA,  $R_{\rm d}$ ,  $G_{\rm s}$ ,  $N_{\rm A}$  and RGR than the natives (Table 1). The invader was not significantly different from the two natives in  $P_{\rm T}$  of  $P_{\rm max}$ , RE, PNUE, WUE,  $N_{\rm C}$ ,  $N_{\rm B}$ ,  $N_{\rm L}$ , Chl and total biomass (Table 1). In high irradiances

#### Table 1

Effects of species (*n* = 3), irradiance (*n* = 4) and their interactions on each variable according to a two-way ANOVA and the differences in plasticity in response to range of total irradiances among invasive *Eupatorium adenophorum* and its native congeners according to a one-way ANOVA (Tukey–Kramer test).

Variable	<i>F</i> -value			Plasticity index in range of total irradiance		
	Species, S	Irradiance, I	$I \times S$	E. adenophorum	E. heterophyllum	E. japonicum
P <sub>max</sub>	175.74***	187.84***	2.74*	0.43b	0.52a	0.47ab
RE	87.91***	5.84**	1.89	0.13a	0.18a	0.17a
PNUE	41.77****	13.36***	0.36	0.13a	0.18a	0.13a
WUE	6.50**	2.22	7.46***	0.19a	0.22a	0.19a
R <sub>d</sub>	1.48	93.64***	$2.50^{*}$	0.36b	0.49a	0.53a
Gs	39.69***	62.52***	3.76**	0.30b	0.50a	0.53a
N <sub>A</sub>	236.88***	629.35***	10.05***	0.35b	0.41a	0.39a
Nc	83.37***	154.10***	6.34***	0.52a	0.48ab	0.43b
NB	176.21***	387.07***	6.97***	0.50b	0.56a	0.50b
NL	109.61***	28.53***	2.34	0.24ab	0.17b	0.29a
Chl	77.45***	22.53***	1.61	0.24a	0.16b	0.29a
Car	161.68***	270.63***	26.21***	0.65a	0.53b	0.54b
RGR	33.82***	295.49***	3.23**	0.636b	0.748a	0.758a
Biomass	34.15***	66.92***	8.44***	0.95a	0.95a	0.96a
SLA	49.84***	327.13***	8.20***	0.62b	0.71a	0.71a

RGR, P<sub>max</sub> and total biomass have been published in Zheng et al. (2009).

Different letters in the same row indicate significant differences among species (P < 0.05). Car, leaf carotenoid content in g m<sup>-2</sup>; Chl, leaf chlorophyll content in g m<sup>-2</sup>; G<sub>s</sub>, stomatal conductance in mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; N<sub>A</sub>, total leaf nitrogen content in g m<sup>-2</sup>; N<sub>B</sub>, nitrogen content in bioenergetics in g m<sup>-2</sup>; N<sub>C</sub>, nitrogen content in carboxylation in g m<sup>-2</sup>; N<sub>L</sub>, nitrogen content in light-harvesting components in g m<sup>-2</sup>; P<sub>max</sub>, light-saturated photosynthetic rate in  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; PNUE, photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency in  $\mu$ mol g<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; R<sub>d</sub>, dark respiration rate in  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; RE, respiration efficiency in  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-1</sup>; RGR, relative growth rate in mg g<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>; SLA, specific leaf area in cm<sup>2</sup> mg<sup>-1</sup>; WUE, water use efficiency in  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>.

\* P<0.05.

\*\* P<0.01.

\*\*\* P<0.001.

(40–100%). E. adenophorum showed significantly higher plasticity in Car but lower plasticity in  $P_{\text{max}}$ ,  $G_{\text{s}}$ ,  $N_{\text{A}}$ ,  $N_{\text{C}}$ ,  $N_{\text{B}}$  and total biomass than the native congeners (Table 2). There were no distinct differences for P<sub>H</sub> in RE, PNUE, WUE, R<sub>d</sub>, N<sub>L</sub>, Chl, SLA and RGR between E. adenophorum and the natives (Table 2). In low irradiances (10-40%), *E. adenophorum* showed higher plasticity in  $N_A$ ,  $N_C$ ,  $N_B$ ,  $N_L$ , Car and Chl but lower plasticity in RGR than the natives (Table 2). Significant differences were not found for  $P_{\rm L}$  in  $P_{\rm max}$ , RE, PNUE, WUE,  $R_{\rm d}$ , G<sub>s</sub>, SLA and total biomass between *E. adenophorum* and the native congeners (Table 2). For E. adenophorum, plasticity indices of all variables (except RE) were lower in high irradiances than in low irradiances, whereas for natives, plasticity indices of  $G_{\rm s}$ ,  $N_{\rm A}$ ,  $N_{\rm C}$ ,  $N_{\rm B}$ ,  $N_{\rm L}$  and Chl were higher in high irradiances than in low irradiances (Table 2). Plastic response of performance (biomass and RGR) was weaker for all species in high irradiances than in low irradiances (Table 2).

Total biomass and RGR increased linearly with increasing  $P_{\text{max}}$  and PNUE (Fig. 2).  $P_{\text{max}}$  increased significantly with increasing  $N_{\text{C}}$ ,  $N_{\text{B}}$ ,  $N_{\text{A}}$ ,  $R_{\text{d}}$  and  $G_{\text{s}}$ , but decreased with increasing SLA (Fig. 3). The

invader had higher  $P_{\text{max}}$  at the same value of  $R_d$ ,  $N_A$  or  $G_s$  (only at high values), consistent with its higher RE, PNUE, and WUE (at 40% and 100% irradiances) (Fig. 3C–E). With the increase of  $N_C$ ,  $N_B$  and  $N_A$ , PNUE increased significantly and was significantly higher for the invader than for the natives at the same value of  $N_C$ ,  $N_B$  or  $N_A$  (Fig. 4).

# Discussion

# Higher $P_{max}$ , RE, PNUE and WUE may contribute to invasiveness of the invader

High RGR is an important trait for alien invasive plants (Burns, 2004; Burns and Winn, 2006; Grotkopp and Rejmánek, 2007). The higher  $P_{\text{max}}$  of *E. adenophorum* compared with its native congeners may contribute to its higher RGR through increasing net assimilation rate (NAR), one of the determinants of RGR. The positive correlation between NAR and  $P_{\text{max}}$  has been found (Feng et al., 2007). In this study, we also found the positive correlations

Table 2

The differences in plasticity in response to ranges of high and low irradiances among invasive *Eupatorium adenophorum* and its native congeners according to one-way ANOVA (Tukey–Kramer test).

Variable	Plasticity index in high irradiances (40–100%)			Plasticity index in low irradiances (10-40%)		
	E. adenophorum	E. heterophyllum	E. Japonicum	E. adenophorum	E. heterophyllum	E. japonicum
P <sub>max</sub>	0.18b	0.34a	0.33a	0.31a	0.26ab	0.20b
RE	0.08ab	0.18a	0.04b	0.05a	0.13a	0.17a
PNUE	0.00a	0.05a	0.00a	0.13a	0.12a	0.13a
WUE	0.00b	0.16a	0.09ab	0.19a	0.22a	0.12a
R <sub>d</sub>	0.10b	0.19ab	0.29a	0.29a	0.16b	0.25ab
Gs	0.15b	0.36a	0.39a	0.17a	0.21a	0.22a
NA	0.18b	0.31a	0.33a	0.21a	0.15b	0.09b
Nc	0.18b	0.35a	0.28a	0.41a	0.19b	0.20b
NB	0.22b	0.39a	0.35a	0.36a	0.27b	0.22b
NL	0.08b	0.14b	0.28a	0.13a	0.01b	0.04b
Car	0.27a	0.11b	0.13b	0.52a	0.46b	0.47b
Chl	0.12b	0.14b	0.28a	0.13a	0.01b	0.04b
SLA	0.25b	0.41a	0.35ab	0.49b	0.50b	0.56a
RGR	0.02b	0.13a	0.10ab	0.63c	0.71b	0.76a
Biomass	0.06a	0.38a	0.30a	0.94a	0.92b	0.96a

Different letters in the same row indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) among species. Abbreviations as in Table 1.





**Fig. 1.** Differences among invasive *Eupatorium adenophorum* (black bars) and native *E. heterophyllum* (open bars) and *E. japonicum* (hatched bars) grown at different irradiances. Mean  $\pm$  SE (n = 5 for RGR and biomass; n = 4 for others). Different small and capital letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) among species at the same irradiance and among irradiances for the same species, respectively. Abbreviations as in Table 1. RGR,  $P_{max}$  and total biomass have been published in Zheng et al. (2009).

between RGR, total biomass and  $P_{\text{max}}$  (Fig. 2A and C). Higher RGR of *E. adenophorum* can facilitate growth of ramets and branches, increasing crown area and total leaf area, which might give the invader a competitive advantage by shading native plants (Feng et al., 2007).

Respiration is an important physiological process for plants, providing metabolic intermediates and energy directly for maintenance of cellular activities (maintenance respiration) and growth (growth respiration) (Amthor, 1989). Positive correlation between  $P_{\rm max}$  and  $R_{\rm d}$  has been found in many studies (Fig. 3D; Pattison et al., 1998; McDowell, 2002). On the other hand, respiration may also consume organic matter, impairing biomass accumulation. *E. adenophorum* showed significantly higher RE, while its  $R_{\rm d}$  was not significantly different from natives (Fig. 1E and F). These results indicated that the invader could accumulate more photosynthates than natives at the same value of respiration cost (Fig. 3D), contributing to higher RGR and therefore to invasiveness.

The positive correlations between RGR, biomass and PNUE indicated that the higher PNUE of the invader may contribute to its higher biomass and RGR (Fig. 2B and D), which was consistent with previous studies (Field et al., 1983; Lambers and Poorter, 1992; Schieving and Poorter, 1999). In addition, the invader also showed significantly higher WUE at 40% and 100% irradiances (Fig. 1D), breaking the trade-off between PNUE and WUE (DeLucia and Schlesinger, 1991; McDowell, 2002; Feng et al., 2008).

# Traits contributing to the higher $P_{max}$ , RE, PNUE and WUE of the invader

 $P_{\text{max}}$  was positively correlated with  $N_A$ ,  $N_C$  and  $N_B$  (Fig. 3A–C). The result may be due to the fact that  $N_C$  and  $N_B$  are positively correlated with the maximum rates of carboxylation and electron transport, which influence  $P_{\text{max}}$  directly (Feng et al., 2008). Our results indicated that the higher  $N_A$ ,  $N_C$  and  $N_B$  at all irradiances



**Fig. 2.** RGR and biomass as a function of (A and C)  $P_{max}$  and (B and D) PNUE in invasive *Eupatorium adenophorum* (filled symbols) and native *E. heterophyllum* (open symbols) and *E. japonicum* (grey symbols) grown at 10% (diamonds), 23% (squares), 40% (triangles) and 100% (circles) irradiances. Mean value of each variable was given (n = 5 for RGR and biomass; n = 4 for others). Abbreviations as in Table 1.

contributed to the higher  $P_{\text{max}}$  of the invader. Higher  $G_{\text{s}}$  of the invader (Fig. 1G) may also contribute to its higher photosynthetic rate through increasing CO<sub>2</sub> supply (Fig. 3E). The higher chloroplast pigment contents of the invader (Fig. 1L and M) may contribute to its higher RGR and total biomass through increasing light capture and photosynthetic rate under low PPFD.

Lower  $N_A$  contributes to a higher PNUE in invasive plants compared with natives (McDowell, 2002; Niinemets et al., 2003). However, in this study,  $N_A$  is higher for *E. adenophorum* than native congeners (Fig. 1H). In addition, PNUE increased linearly with  $N_A$ (Fig. 4C). Compared with native congeners, *E. adenophorum* allocated more fractions of leaf nitrogen to carboxylation, bioenergetics and light-harvesting components, contributing to higher PNUE of *E. adenophorum* (Fig. 4A and B). The higher RE and WUE (at 40% and 100% irradiances only) of the invader might also be associated with its higher  $P_{max}$  and therefore with its higher nitrogen allocation to photosynthesis, because its  $R_d$  and  $G_s$  were not lower than its native congeners (Fig. 1F and G).

Defensive chemicals, cell walls, cell nucleus, and other cellular components also account for some proportions of leaf N although most of leaf N is allocated to photosynthesis (Evans, 1989; Feng et al., 2009). Increasing N allocation to these components may decrease N allocation to photosynthesis. For example, high-SLA plants compared with low-SLA plants allocate lower fractions of



**Fig. 3.** *P*<sub>max</sub> as a function of (A) *N*<sub>C</sub>, (B) *N*<sub>B</sub>, (C) *N*<sub>A</sub>, (D) *R*<sub>d</sub>, (E) *G*<sub>s</sub>, (F) *C*<sub>i</sub> and (G) SLA in invasive *Eupatorium adenophorum* (filled symbols) and native *E. heterophyllum* (open symbols) and *E. japonicum* (grey symbols) grown at 10% (diamonds), 23% (squares), 40% (triangles) and 100% (circles) irradiances. Abbreviations as in Table 1.



**Fig. 4.** PNUE as a function of (A) *P*<sub>C</sub>, (B) *P*<sub>B</sub> and (C) *N*<sub>A</sub> in invasive *Eupatorium adenophorum* (filled symbols) and native *E. heterophyllum* (open symbols) and *E. japonicum* (grey symbols) grown at 10% (diamonds), 23% (squares), 40% (triangles) and 100% (circles) irradiances. Abbreviations as in Table 1.

leaf N to cell walls and higher fractions of leaf N to photosynthesis, showing tradeoffs between N allocation to cell walls and photosynthesis (Onoda et al., 2004; Takashima et al., 2004; Feng et al., 2009). However, *E. adenophorum* did not show higher SLA than natives (Fig. 1B), indicating that the tradeoffs between N allocation to cell walls and photosynthesis could not explain its higher N allocation to photosynthesis.

## Plasticity and invasiveness

Car was the only variable in which the invader showed consistently higher plasticity index than its native congeners in ranges of low (10-40%), high (40-100%) and total (10-100%) irradiances (Tables 1 and 2). The results indicated that the relative increment in Car with increasing irradiance was higher for the invader than for its native congeners, contributing to photo protection. Many studies found that carotenoids have a role in thermal dissipation of excessive energy (ref). Thus, the high plasticity of Car may contribute to invasion success of the invader in high irradiances.

Invasive E. adenophorum had significantly higher plasticity indices in  $N_A$ ,  $N_C$ ,  $N_B$ ,  $N_L$ , Chl and Car than its native congeners in range of low irradiances but not in ranges of high and total irradiances (except Car) (Tables 1 and 2). The results indicated that the relative increments in these variables when irradiance increased from 10% to 40% were higher for the invader than for its native congeners. Consistently, the invader had higher relative increment in  $P_{\text{max}}$ , as judged by its higher plasticity of  $P_{\text{max}}$  (not significant compared with *E. heterophyllum*) in range of low irradiances (Table 2). However, the higher relative increment in  $P_{\text{max}}$  did not result in higher relative increments in performance (RGR and total biomass). In fact, the relative increment in RGR was lower for the invader than for its native congeners, as judged by its lower plasticity index of RGR (Table 2). Similarly, with increasing irradiance, the two native congeners showed higher relative increments in  $P_{max}$ ,  $G_{s}$ ,  $N_{\rm A}$ ,  $N_{\rm C}$ ,  $N_{\rm B}$ ,  $N_{\rm L}$  and Chl in range of high irradiances than in range of low irradiances, as judged by plasticity indices, which also did not lead to higher relative increments in performance (lower plasticity) in range of high irradiances (Table 2). The inconsistent changing trends (plastic responses) of physiological traits and performance were also found in previous studies (Sultan, 1995; Sultan et al., 1998), which may be associated with the differences in plasticity of morphological traits between species or ranges of environments. For example, total leaf area did not increase and even decreased for the natives when irradiance increased from 40% to 100% (Zheng et al., 2009), which may give a possible explanation to the less relative increment in performance in range of high irradiance relative to the range of low irradiances.

Our study showed that the relationship between invasiveness and plasticity of a specific trait was complex. Performance plasticity is achieved by interactions among plasticities of morphological and/or physiological traits. Plastic responses of plants depend on their intrinsic characters, the category and range of target environment. A species may have higher plasticity in some traits than another species in a certain set of environments, but not in other traits, or for the same traits in another set of environments (Sultan, 1995; Sultan et al., 1998; Richards et al., 2006; Hulme, 2008). In addition, plasticity is costly (DeWitt et al., 1998; Avramov et al., 2007), and a greater magnitude of plastic responses does not necessarily translate to greater performance and adaptation (Richards et al., 2006).

# Conclusion

The constantly higher  $P_{max}$ , RE, PNUE and WUE (at 40% and 100% irradiances) of *E. adenophorum* relative to its native congeners



contributed to its higher RGR and total biomass across irradiances. The invader had consistently higher plasticity only in Car than its native congeners in ranges of low (10–40%), high (40–100%) and total (10–100%) irradiances, contributing to invasion success in high irradiance by photo protection. In range of low irradiance, the invader had higher plasticity in some physiological traits ( $N_A$ ,  $N_C$ ,  $N_B$ ,  $N_L$ , Chl and Car) but not in performance. The results indicated that the relationship between invasiveness and plasticity of a specific trait was complex. The role of plasticity in invasion success may be different in different environments, and a universal generalization about the relationship might be too simplistic.

# Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Chang-Long Zhang for his assistance in measurements, and the three anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments on an earlier version of the manuscript. This study was supported by Project of National Natural Science Foundation of China Grants (30670394 and 30830027).

#### Appendix A.

Fig. A1.

# References

Amthor JS. Respiration and crop productivity. New York, USA: Spinger-Verlag; 1989. Avramov S, Pemac D, Tucić B. Phenotypic plasticity in response to an irradiance gradient in *Iris pumila*: adaptive value and evolutionary constraints adaptive

- value and evolutionary constraints. Plant Ecol 2007;190:275–90.
- Baker HG. The evolution of weeds. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 1974;5:1–24.
- Baltzer JL, Thomas SC. Physiological and morphological correlates of whole-plant light compensation point in temperate deciduous tree seedlings. Oecologia 2007;153:209–23.
- Burns JH. A comparison of invasive and non-invasive dayflowers (Commelinaceae) across experimental nutrient and water gradients. Divers Distrib 2004;10:387–97.
- Burns JH, Winn AA. A comparison of plastic responses to competition by invasive and non-invasive congeners in the Commelinaceae. Biol Invasions 2006;8:797–807.
- Callaway RM, Pennings SC, Richards CL. Phenotypic plasticity and interactions among plants. Ecology 2003;84:1115–28.
- D'Antonio CM, Hughes RF, Vitousek PM. Factors influencing dynamics of invasive C<sub>4</sub> grasses in Hawaiian woodland, role of resource competition and priority effects. Ecology 2001;82:89–104.
- Daehler CC. Performance comparisons of co-occurring native and alien invasive plants: implications for conservation and restoration. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 2003;34:183–211.
- DeLucia EH, Schlesinger WH. Resource-use efficiency and drought tolerance in adjacent great basin and Sierran plants. Ecology 1991;72:51–8.
- DeWitt TJ, Sih A, Wilson DS. Costs and limits of phenotypic plasticity. Trends Ecol Evol 1998;13:77–81.

- Evans JR. Photosynthesis and nitrogen relationships in leaves of C<sub>3</sub> plants. Oecologia 1989;78:9–19.
- Feng YL. Photosynthesis, nitrogen allocation and specific leaf area in invasive Eupatorium adenophorum and native Eupatorium japonicum grown at different irradiances. Physiol Plant 2008;133:318–26.
- Feng YL, Fu GL. Nitrogen allocation, partitioning and use efficiency in three invasive plant species in comparison to their native congeners. Biol Invasions 2008;10:891–902.
- Feng YL, Fu GL, Zheng YL. Specific leaf area relates to the differences in leaf construction cost, photosynthesis, nitrogen allocation and use efficiencies between invasive and noninvasive alien congeners. Planta 2008;228:383–90.
- Feng YL, Wang JF, Sang WG. Biomass allocation, morphology and photosynthesis of invasive and noninvasive exotic species grown at four irradiance levels. Acta Oecol 2007;31:40–7.
- Feng YL, Lei YB, Wang RF, Callaway RM, Valiente-Banuet A, Inderjit, et al. Evolutionary tradeoffs for nitrogen allocation to photosynthesis versus cell walls in an invasive plant. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009;106:1853–6.
- Field C, Merino J, Mooney HA. Compromises between water-use efficiency and nitrogen-use efficiency in five species of California evergreens. Oecologia 1983;60:384–9.
- Ghalambor CK, Mckay JK, Carroll SP, Reznick DN. Adaptive versus non-adaptive phenotypic plasticity and the potential for contemporary adaptation in new environments. Funct Ecol 2007;21:394–407.
- Grotkopp E, Rejmánek M. High seedling relative growth rate and specific leaf area are traits of invasive species: phylogenetically independent contrasts of woody angiosperms. Am J Bot 2007;94:526–32.
- Gurevitch J, Howard TG, Ashton IW, Leger EA, Howe KM, Woo E, et al. Effects of experimental manipulation of light and nutrients on establishment of seedlings of native and invasive woody species in Long Island, NY forests. Biol Invasions 2008;10:821–31.
- Hulme PE. Phenotypic plasticity and plant invasions: is it all Jack? Funct Ecol 2008;22:3-7.
- Kitaoka S, Koike T. Invasion of broad leaf tree species into a larch plantation: seasonal light environment, photosynthesis, and nitrogen allocation. Physiol Plant 2004;121:604–11.
- Laisk A, Eichelmann H, Oja V, Rasulov E, Padu E, Bichele I, Pettai H, Kull O. Adjustment of leaf photosynthesis to shade in a natural canopy, rate parameters. Plant Cell Environ 2005;28:375–88.
- Lambers H, Poorter H. Inherent variation in growth rate between higher plants: a search for physiological causes and ecological consequences. Adv Ecol Res 1992;23:188–261.
- Li YP, Feng YL. Differences in seed morphometric and germination traits among fourteen populations of invasive crofton weed (*Eupatorium adenophorum*) from different elevations. Weed Sci 2009;57:26–30.
- Lichtenthaler HK, Wellburn AR. Determination of total carotenoids and chlorophyll a and b of leaf extracts in different solvents. Biochem Soc Trans 1983;603:591–2.
- Loustau D, Beahim M, Gaudillère JP, Dreyer E. Photosynthetic responses to phosphorous nutrition in two-year-old maritime pine seedlings. Tree Physiol 1999;19:707–15.
- McDowell SCL. Photosynthetic characteristics of invasive and noninvasive species of *Rubus* (Rosaceae). Am J Bot 2002;89:1431–8.
- Niinemets Ü, Tenhunen JD. A model separating leaf structural and physiological effects on carbon gain along light gradients for the shade-tolerant species *Acer saccharum*. Plant Cell Environ 1997;20:845–66.

- Niinemets Ü, Olevikull, Tenhunen JD. An analysis of light effects on foliar morphology, physiology, and light interception in temperate deciduous woody species of contrasting shade tolerance. Tree Physiol 1998;18:681–96.
- Niinemets Ü, Valladares F, Ceulemans R. Leaf-level phenotypic variability and plasticity of invasive *Rhododendron ponticum* and non-invasive *Ilex aquifolium* co-occurring at two contrasting European sites. Plant Cell Environ 2003;26:941–56.
- Onoda Y, Hikosaka K, Hirose T. Allocation of nitrogen to cell walls decreases photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency. Funct Ecol 2004;18:419–25.
- Parker IM, Rodriguez J, Loik ME. An evolutionary approach to understanding the biology of invasions: local adaptation and general-purpose genotypes in the weed Verbascum thapsus. Conserv Biol 2003;17:59–72.
- Pattison RR, Goldstein G, Ares A. Growth, biomass allocation and photosynthesis of invasive and native Hawaiian rain-forest species. Oecologia 1998;117:449–59.
- Richards CL, Bossdorf O, Muth NZ, Gurevitch J, Pigliucci M. Jack of all trades, master of some? On the role of phenotypic plasticity in plant invasions. Ecol Lett 2006;9:981–93.
- Schieving F, Poorter H. Carbon gain in a multisoecies canopy: the role of specific leaf area and photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency in the tragedy of the commons. New Phytol 1999;143:201–11.
- Standish RJ, Robertson AW, Williams PA. The impact of an invasive weed Trade scantia fluminensis on native forest regeneration. J Appl Ecol 2001;38: 1253–63.
- Sultan SE. Phenotypic plasticity and plant adaptation. Acta Bot Need 1995;44:363–83.
- Sultan SE, Bazzaz FA. Phenotypic plasticity in *Polygonum persicaria*. III. The evolution of ecological breadth for nutrient environment. Evolution 1993;47:1050–71.
- Sultan SE, Wilczek AM, Bell DL, Hand G. Physiological response to complex environments in annual *Polygonum* species of contrasting ecological breadth. Oecologia 1998;115:564–78.
- Takashima T, Hikosaka K, Hirose T. Photosynthesis or persistence: nitrogen allocation in leaves of evergreen and deciduous *Quercus* species. Plant Cell Environ 2004;27:1047–54.
- Terashima I. Anatomy of non-uniform leaf photosynthesis. Photosynth Res 1992;31:195–212.
- Valladares F, Wright SJ, Lasso E, Kitajima K, Pearcy RW. Plastic phenotypic response to light of 16 congeneric shrubs from a Panamanian rainforest. Ecology 2000;81:1925–36.
- Warren CR, Adams MA. Evergreen trees do not maximize instantaneous photosynthesis. Trends Plant Sci 2004;9:270-4.
- Weber E, Schmid B. Latitudinal population differentiation in two species of *Solidago* (Asteraceae) introduced into Europe. Am J Bot 1998;85:1110–21.
- Williams DG, Mack RN, Black RA. Ecophysiology of introduced Pennisetum setaceum on Hawaii: the role of phenotypic plasticity. Ecology 1995;76:1569–80.
- Yamashita N, Ishida A, Kushima H, Tanaka N. Acclimation to sudden increase in light favoring an invasive over native trees in subtropical islands, Japan. Oecologia 2000;125:412–9.
- Zheng YL, Feng YL, Liu WX, Liao ZY. Growth, biomass allocation, morphology and photosynthesis of invasive *Eupatorium adenophorum* and its native congeners grown at four irradiances. Plant Ecol 2009;203:263–71.